

2nd Meeting 79

3

2

MINUTES OF MEETING OF EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE, UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH HELD ON 15.1.2011 AT 10.30 A.M. AT TECH MAHINDRA PLOT NO.23, PHASE-II, RAJIV GANDHI TECHNOLOGY PARK, KISHANGARH, CHANDIGARH UNDER THE CHAIRMANSHIP OF DR. R.K. KOHLI, CHAIRMAN, EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE, CHANDIGARH.

A meeting of Expert Appraisal Committee, Union Territory was held on 15.1.2011 at 10.30 a.m. at Tech Mahindra Ltd. Plot No.23, Phase-II, Rajiv Gandhi Technology Park, Kishangarh, Chandigarh under the Chairmanship of Dr. R.K. Kohli, Chairman. The following members were present.

1. Prof. M.S. Johal, Member
Deptt. Of Zoology,
Punjab University, Chandigarh
2. Sh. Surinder Singh, Member
Divisional Forest Officer (Retd.)
H. No. 995, Sector-41-A, Chandigarh
3. Prof. Shakti Arora, Member
Deptt. Of Environmental Engineering,
Punjab Engineering College, Sector-12,
Chandigarh.
4. Sh. Vivek Pandey, Scientist 'B' Member
Chandigarh Pollution Control Committee
Chandigarh.
5. Sh. Surendra Kumar, Special Invitee
Additional Director
Ministry of Environment & Forests
Northern Regional Officer, Chandigarh.
6. Sh. P.J.S. Dadhwal, Secretary
Additional Director,
Department of Environment,
Chandigarh Administration

Before seeking presentation and clarifications from the proponent, the members of the S-EAC refreshed them and discussed again each of the 20 points/objections already conveyed to the proponent for clarifications. It was resolved that the responses received to the queries from Tech Mahindra were too brief to comprehend and make any opinion. The Affidavit submitted by the proponent was also seen to be incomplete.

After the internal meeting, the Committee invited the officers of M/s Tech Mahindra, the proponent to the meeting room to get to know the details through a presentation and seek relevant clarifications if any or response to the objections raised in S-EAC communication dated 15-11-2010.

The following members of the Tech Mahindra made their presence before the members of the SEAC :-

1. Ashok Pathak, Director
2. Mr. Amit Bakshi, Director Operations, BPO, Chandigarh
3. Dr. R.S. Saini Director Eco-Laboratory Consultant
4. Mr. Sandeep Garg, Managing Director Eco-Laboratory Consultant

Mr. Amit Bakshi made presentation on LCD and also gave a hard copy of it. During and after presentation, the members sought clarification on various points which were responded by the team of the proponent, M/s Tech Mahindra.

Since the written responses to SEAC queries were too inadequate, the committee resolved and requested the proponent to furnish detailed response to the queries made in their letter dated 15-11-2010. The proponent agreed to do so. In addition, it was unanimously resolved that the proponent should give the following in particular:-

1. The proponent must submit an affidavit regarding actual construction work done including total built-up area construction and pending, STP energy saving devices, DG set etc. as also other points raised, in details
2. The members reiterated that point-wise reply to our letter dated 15.11.2010 be given in details.
3. The proponent was asked to submit a clarification about the variation in figures in terms of covered area given in the proposals and that given in response in our letter.
4. The detail management plan of Electronic, Municipal, Hazardous and Bio-Medical Waste may be submitted separately.
5. The company should quantify energy produced/generated from the non conventional sources. The number of CFL & LED sources of energy to be used may be given.
6. The proponent was asked to get authenticated of the cutting and overwriting made on the approved drawing by Assistant Estate Officer-approval. The proponent was also asked to submit in writing the detailed design specification and calculation of the STP and energy audit. In case the proponent finds it difficult to get authentication on the cuttings/over-writings from the AEO or get a certified copy of the official records for the AEO, at least an affidavit to the effect could be submitted
7. The proponent was asked to submit the detailed design specification of STP.
8. The proponent was suggested to plant at least three rows of trees along the boundaries of their campus and if possible even outside. The selection of tree species should be based preferable on the following characteristics of the trees:-
 - a. Indigenous species, Evergreen, Broad-leaf, Non-edible-fruit bearing.
 - b. The selection of rows should be based on tree height and canopy architecture. As also direction of the sun
 - c. The inner-most row of trees should be ornamental and of short-height

The proponent agreed on all points *in toto* and promised to furnish the details and supply documents and the affidavit as suggested above at the earliest.

Thereafter, the members of S-EAC took a round of the facility and checked sites of STP, Gen set, noise level of full-load gen set, pipelines for water supply and disposal with colour code, etc. apart from temporary storage sites for solid waste and hazardous waste etc.

The meeting ended with thanks to the chair.

Sh. Surinder Singh

Sh. Vivek Pandey,

Sh. Surendera Kumar, Addl. Dir.

Prof. M.S. Johal,

Prof. Shakti Arora,

Sh. P.J.S. Dadhwal,

Prof. R.K. Kohli,

Member

Member

MoEF, NRO, Chd. Special Invitee

Member

Member

Secretary

Chairman

15-1-2011