MINUTES OF 2181 MEETING OF EXPERT APPRAISAL CONNINTED LE, OF TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH HELD ON 15.01.2014 at 3:00 P.M. IN THE LIBRARY ROOM AT 2ND FLOOR OF PARYAVARAN BHAWAN, SECTOR 19-B, CHANDIGARH UNDER THE CHAIRMANSHIP OF SH. KHAZAN SINGH, IFS RETD., CHAIRMAN, STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE , UT, CHANDIGARH

A meeting of Expert Appraisal Committee, Union Territory, Chandigarh was held on 15.01.2014 at 3:00 P.M. in the Library Room at 2nd Floor of Paryavaran Bhawan, Sector 19-B, Chandigarh under the chairmanship of Sh. Khazan Singh, IFS Retd., Chairman, to discuss the case of project of Punjab University for the construction of 20 Nos. House Type - I (five Storey's) and 20 Nos. Houses Type - III (five Storey's) Scheme located at Sector 25, Punjab University, South Campus, Chandigarh.

Following members were present in the meeting:-

1.	Dr. V.K.Rattan, Department of Chemical Engineering,	Member
2.	Punjab University, Prof. M.S.Johal, Department Zoology,	Member
3.	Panjab University, Chandigarh. Dr. Rajnish Wattas, Retd. Principal, Chandigarh College of Architecture,	Member
4.	Chandigarh Prof. Shakti Arora Department of Environmental Engineering,	Member
5.	Punjab Engineering College, Chandigarh Sh. Vivek Pandey, Scientist 'B'.	Member
6.	Chandigarh Pollution Control Committee, Chandigarh. Sh.P.J.S. Dadhwal,	Secretary
	Additional Director, Environment Department U.T., Chandigarh.	

At the outset, the Secretary, SEAC apprised the members about the project. He informed that the Executive Engineer, Division No.1, Punjab University, Chandigarh had applied for environmental clearance in the prescribed form 1 and IA vide application dated 15.10.2013. The following preliminary discrepancies were found in the application form which were asked to the project Proponent vide office Memo dated 25.10.2013 so that the complete information be placed before the SEAC for its appraisal:-

Since the environmental clearance has been applied for 9865 sq.m only whereas the area <20,000 sq.m does not requires environmental clearance as per EIA notifications. Whether environmental clearance has earlier been granted by the MoEF, New Delhi . If yes, supply the copy of

Omty Mill VS

Then why this project has not been applied as expansion project? Following information may also be furnished:-

a. Total built up area of all the buildings including basements constructed

Date of starting the project and component wise construction carried

No. of persons for which the residential complex/commercial complex/institutional building are being constructed/have been

Copy of the approved layout plan from the competent authority.

Authenticated copy of map by the Chief Wildlife Warden, UT., Chd., If the project located within the 10 Km of Sukhna Wildlife Sanctuary and City 2. Bird Sanctuary. The proponent has to apply for wildlife clearance 3. /clearance from standing committee of the National Board for wildlife to the competent authority before coming for environment clearance and a copy of the application be furnished alongwith environment clearance

An undertaking mentioning that no diversion of forestland is involved in the 4.

Layout Plan showing the rain water harvesting system proposed in the 5.

No detail of STP has been mentioned. An undertaking from the Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh for the discharge into the main sewerage of MC, 6.

No quantity of DG set has been mentioned in the form I and IA.

As mentioned at Point No. 3.2 of Form IA that no cutting of trees is involved but no site photograph has been furnished in support of the 7. 8. same. As undertaken that tree plantation shall be as per CPCB/MoEF norms/guidelines but no plan of landscaping and plantation has been

Air and Noise test reports from the accredited laboratory has not been 9.

enclosed of the proposed project site. Same may be submitted.

Only one copy of Application form i.e. form I/IA has been submitted for the grant of environmental clearance. As such 15 copies are required 10. alongwith soft copy.

The project proponent had not furnished reply to the above referred preliminary information asked vide Memo dated 25.10.2013 even after the lapse of near about 3 months. Accordingly the matter was placed before the committee for its information.

After detailed deliberations, the SEAC decided that a reminder may again be issued to the project proponent for furnishing the information asked vide letter dated 25.10.2013 alongwith additional information in regard to the total built-up area of the existing construction before 07.07.2004, within one month. If, the information did not received within prescribed period the matter may be dealt as per the directions contained in OM dated 30.10.2012 issued by the MoEF, New Delhi, wherein it has been decided that

All projects where additional information desired has not been submitted even after 6 months of the EAC meeting, should be delisted from the list of pending projects

For the projects pending between 3-6 months of the EAC meeting for want of information, reminder may be sent seeking

Donth M. J.

information within a month. If the information is not received within this period, even these projects may be de-listed.

The SEAC, therefore, unanimously recommended that a reminder may again be issued to the project proponent for furnishing the information asked vide letter dated 25.10.2013 alongwith additional information in regard to the total built-up area of the existing construction before 07.07.2004, within one month. If, the information did not received within prescribed period the matter shall be dealt as per the directions contained in OM dated 30.10.2012 issued by the MoEF, New Delhi

The meeting ended with the vote of thanks to the chair.

Sh. Vivek Pandey, Member

> Sh. M.S.Johal, Member

Dr. V.K.Rattan, Member Sh. Shakti Arora, Member

Dr. Rajnish Wattas, Member

Sh. P.J.S.Dadhwal, Secretary

Sh. Khazan Singh Chairman